Customer No.: 31561

Application No.: 10/711,509 Docket No.: 12405-US-PA-0P

## Present Status of the Application

This is a full and timely response to the outstanding final Office Action mailed on May 9, 2006. The Office Action has also rejected claims 1-2, 4, 10, 19-20, 22, 24 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wuu et al. (USP 6,222,214) in view of Lee et al (USP 6,737,305) and to claims 3, 5-7, 21, 23, 26-27 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wuu in view of Lee and further in view of Yang et al. (US Publication 2002/0102781 A1). Upon entry of this response, claims 1-7, 10, 19-24, 26-27 remain pending.

REMARKS

Applicant has most respectfully considered the remarks set forth in this Office Action. Regarding the anticipated rejection, it is however strongly believed that the cited references are deficient to adequately teach the claimed features as recited in the amended claims. The reasons that motivate the above position of the Applicant are discussed in detail hereafter, upon which reconsideration of the claims is most earnestly solicited.

## Discussion of Office Action Rejections

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of claims 1-2, 4, 8, 10, 19-20, 22, 24-25 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wuu et al. (USP 6,222,214) in view of Lee et al (USP 6,737,305) because a prima facie case of obviousness has not been established by the Office Action.

FAX NO.

Customer No.: 31561 Application No.: 10/711,509 Docket No.: 12405-US-PA-0P

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection for at least the reasons that not every element of the claim was taught or suggested by Wuu in view of Lee such that the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Wuu teaches that the channel layer 18' of a P-channel TFT is formed with a relatively thin amorphous silicon layer 18 doped with N-type dopant such as (As) or (P). Wuu further teaches subjecting a portion of the amorphous silicon layer 18 to an ion implantation using a P type dopant to form the source/drain doped regions. In essence, Wuu teaches the source/drain and the channel are formed of a same layer and the source/drain regions are not formed over the channel layer as taught in the instant case.

The Office then relies on Lee to teach the missing feature. Lee basically teaches forming a first a-Si layer 106a, a second a-Si layer 106b, a N+Mixed a-Si layer 106c as the channel layer 106. Although Lee teaches forming a source/drain over both sides of the channel layer 106, it is essential for Wuu to have the source/drain and the channel formed of the same layer in order to provide for the P+/N- junctions between the FET channel and the source/drain areas. Since combining Wuu with Lee's source/drain formed over the channel layer would lead to an inoperative device, the motivation to combine Wuu with Lee is thereby lacking.

Moreover, Wuu fails to teach the step of forming the channel layer comprising "forming a first lightly doped sub-amorphous silicon layer at a first deposition rate and forming a second lightly doped sub-amorphous silicon layer at a second deposition rate".

Applicants respectfully disagree with the Office's assertion that Wuu teaches forming "two sub-amorphous layers the second over the first, both parts of 18" and "while Wuu does not

JUL-25-2006 TUE 10:00 P. 05/09 FAX NO.

Customer No.: 31561

Application No.: 10/711,509

Docket No.: 12405-US-PA-0P

specify a deposition rate some rate must be associated with the deposition for the LPCVD

method". Wuu simply teaches forming a relatively thin amorphous silicon layer to provide

a channel layer for the thin film transistors and the silicon layer is achieved by a low

temperature CVD. See Col. 5, lines 39-57, Figure 6. There is no where in Wuu that either

explicitly discloses or implicitly suggests the formation of the first and the second lightly

doped sub-amorphous silicon layers at the first and the second deposition rates, respectively.

Applicants believe that the Office is arguing out of hindsight by looking back through

Applicants' disclosure and declare claim limitations obvious where such declaration can

only be guided by Applicants' disclosure. Applicant respectfully reminds the Office that

"[i]t is impermissible, however, simply to engage in a hindsight reconstruction of the

claimed invention using the Applicant's structure as a template and selecting elements from

the references to fill the gaps". See In re Gorman, 933 F. 2d 982, 987, 18 USPQ 2d 1885

(Fed. Cir. 1991).

Similarly with Lee, Lee simply teaches forming a first a-Si layer 106a, a second a-Si

layer 106b, a N+Mixed a-Si layer 106c as the channel layer. Accordingly, Lee only teaches

one silicon layer 106c being doped, whereas the channel layer of the instant case comprises

It is imperative for the instant case to form a two lightly doped amorphous silicon layers.

first and a second lightly doped sub-amorphous layers because the effective content ratio of

phosphine or boroethane in the process of forming two sub-layers has a positive effect on

the turning-on-current and the electron mobility of the channel layer.

Additionally, Wuu teaches at least two gate electrodes 14, and only one of the gate

electrodes comprises the source/drain 18 (please refer to Figure 6). The present invention,

4

JUL-25-2006 TUE 10:01 P. 06/09

Customer No.: 31561 Application No.: 10/711,509

Docket No.: 12405-US-PA-0P

however, teaches each gate comprises a channel and a source/drain. Further, the instant

case teaches the source/drain regions covers a portion of the gate, while the channel 18' of

Wun extends beyond the side of the gate electrode (G1) to preclude the source/drain region

to cover the portion of the gate.

In summary, the present invention has demonstrated with the proper fabrication

conditions for forming the channel layer, and the resulting properties of the channel layer

exhibit unexpected desirable results. For example, forming a first lightly doped amorphous

silicon layer at a first rate with a first effective content ratio of phosphine or boroethane,

followed by forming a second lightly doped amorphous silicon layer at a second rate with a

second effective content ratio of phosphoine or boroethane would result with a channel

layer of higher tuning-on current and electron mobility. As stated In re Royka, 490 F.2d

981, 180 USPQ 580 (CCPA 1974), "[t]o establish prima facie obviousness of a claimed

invention, all the claim limitations must be taught or suggested by the prior art". Therefore,

even the prior art references are being considered collectively, the fact that neither Wuu nor

Lee suggests or teaches forming the channel layer by forming a first light doped sub-

amorphous silicon layer at a first rate and a second light doped sub-amorphous silicon layer

at a second rate, the combination still fails to render the instant case obvious.

For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that independent

claims 1 and 19 patently define over Wuu in view of Lee, and should be allowed. Since

claims 2, 4, 8, 10, 20, 22, 24-25 are dependent claims, which further define the invention

recited in claims 1 and 19, respectively, Applicanta respectfully assert that these claims also

are in condition for allowance.

5

Customer No.: 31561

Application No.: 10/711,509

Docket No.: 12405-US-PA-0P

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of claims 3, 5-7, 21, 13, 26 and 27 under 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wuu in view of Lee and further in view of Yang et al. (US Publication 2002/0102781, hereinafter "Yang") because a prima facie case of obviousness has not been established by the Office Action.

With regard to the 103 rejections of claims by Wun in view of Lee and further in view of Yang, Applicants respectfully submit that these claims defined over the prior art references for at least the reasons discussed above.

Although the Office recognizes that neither Wuu, Lee nor Yang specify the concentration of the dopants or the ratio of the reactants, the Office asserts that "a change in temperature, or in concentration or in both, would be an unpatentable modification...". Contrary to the Office's assertion, the specification provides specific examples of the critical nature of the effective content ratio of phosphine or boroethane in the process of forming the channel layer. Figures 3 & 4 illustrate the results of tests in which the turning-on-current and the electron mobility of the channel layer are influenced by the effective content ratio of phosphine in the fabrication of the first lightly doped sub-amorphous layer and the second lightly doped sub-amorphous layer.

For at least the reason the neither Wuu, Lee nor Yang teaches the claimed concentration of dopants or the ratio of the reactants as recited in claims 4-7 & 23-24, 26-27, Applicants submit that the rejections to claims 3, 5-7, 21, 13, 26 and 27 have been traversed, rendered moot, and/or accommodated, and that the pending claims 3, 5-7, 21,

Customer No.: 31561

Application No.: 10/711,509 Docket No.: 12405-US-PA-0P

13, 26 and 27 are in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and allowance of the present application and all pending claims are hereby courteously requested.

Customer No.: 31561
Application No.: 10/711,509
Docket No.: 12405-US-PA-0P

## CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is believed that all the pending claims of the present application patently define over the prior art and are in proper condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Date: 7/25/2006

Respectfully submitted,

Ding Yu Tan

Registration No.: 58, 812

Jianq Chyun Intellectual Property Office 7th Floor-1, No. 100 Roosevelt Road, Section 2 Taipei, 100 Taiwan

Tel: 011-886-2-2369-2800 Fax: 011-886-2-2369-7233 Email: <u>Usa@jcipgroup.com.tw</u>